

Previous references.

1893-94

~~191.~~

(Separate Register)

File No. 167/1893-94

Serial Nos. 1 to 14

Later references.

SN 129/1897-8

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 12

Major ~~Part B.~~ for May 1895

SUBJECT

Remission of arrears due from potalappa
Lodoy Vendi Farmer of Varalakunda & Katanakal
in the Bayapali Taluk for 1893-94.

Sl. 1. From the Excise Commissioner in Mysore
to 25/14 by 12th January 1894.
268

Reports that he has confirmed the action
taken by the Dy Commr Kilan St. to
furnish the requisite securities.

Sl. 2. To The Excise Commissioner in Mysore and
the Comptroller No 18977-8 by 4th May 1894
states that the action taken by the
Dy Commr is approved, but it is to
be regretted that the Vendi-farmer

who failed to furnish the requisite security
was allowed to continue till December
1893 to the prejudice of Government
interests.

Requests the Excise Commissioners to
instruct the D.C. to adopt
measures for the recovery of
the arrears due & submit
a report of the result of these
measures at an early
date.

Sl. 3. From the Excise Commissioners Mysore.

No. $\frac{200}{23}$ of 16th July 1894.

Forwards a copy of correspondence on to the
steps taken to recover the arrears due by
defaulting Toddy Farmers, Pottalapeta Bazapalli
Taluk together with the Remission Statement
received from the Kolar Dy Commr and recommends
that the amount of irrecoverable balance of Rs
341-12-7 may be written off the accounts as
the defaulter is insolvent.

Sl. 4. From the Comptroller Mysore Govt.

Requests to be informed whether the
date on which the sale of Subhanaballi
shop in the Bazapalli Taluk of Kolar District
took place and the amount of Loss Sustained
thereby may be altered in Govt Progs No 18911
of 4th May 1894. d. 1934

Sl. 5. To The Excise Commissioners Mysore

No 3532 of 30-8-1894

Requesting to furnish revised Remission Statement
in the Pottalapetta's Case.

Indexed Banaji Nayappa
300 Hindu to C.M.

Sl. 6. From the Excise Comm^r in Mysore
No $\frac{1081}{104}$ by 2nd ~~8th~~ = 9 - 1894.

Explains the discrepancies pointed out and submits a revised remission statement giving all particulars in Mysore to the default of Pottalappa late Toddy vend Farmer of Kattanahalli in Bagavalli Taluk.

Sl. 7. To The Excise Commissioner Mysore
No $\frac{13647}{R. 1975}$ by 4th March 1895.

Requests explanation in regard to the remarks made on the remission statement.

Sl. 8. From the Excise Commissioner Mysore.

No $\frac{3801}{347}$ by 8th March 1895.

Submit explanation in regard to the remarks made on the remission statement relating to Rs 341-12-8 being the arrears due by Pottalappa, a defaulting vend farmer in Bagavalli Taluk.

Sl. 9. From the Excise Commissioner Mysore
No $\frac{3958}{371}$ by 21-3-95

In continuation of the above letter to forward the vernacular papers relating to the remission statement for Rs 341-12-7, being arrears due by Pottalappa, late Toddy vend Farmer.

Sl. 10. To the Excise Commission Mysore

No. 16037 by 29-3-95
R 2284

Returns the remission statement with remarks request that the Excise Commission will be good enough to resubmit it to the Dy Comm^r with explanations in full

Sl. 11. From the Excise Comm^r Mysore

No. 4605 by 4th May 1895.
441

Requests that the record connected with arrears due by defaulting Laddu Venu farmer Potahallappa of Bagapally Taluk may be returned as the Dy Comm^r of Holar has applied for them.

Sl. 12. To the Excise Commission Mysore

No. 20431 by 11th May 1895—
R. 2901

Forwards for reference and resubmission the file of Vernacular papers due by defaulting Laddu Venu farmer of the Bagapally Taluk

g. n. r.

— Banaji rajarajaw
30th April 19

1893. R2079

From

The Excise Commissioners
in Mysore

To

S. N. 169934
The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

S. N. 169934

Dated 12th

Despatched 16th

Received

Enclosures No. One

General No. 2514

No. 268

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Reports that he has con-
-firmed the action taken by
the Deputy Commissioner, Holenarasipur
District, on the failure of the
Toddy and Farmer of Katina-
halli and Varalakonda Cir-
cles in the Bajepally taluk,
to furnish the requisite
Securities.

References (to be entered only in the
Dewan's Office).

To The Same and Comptroller

No 18977-8 214/5/94
21934

Rev 17-1-94 4/11/94
R224/1 1/11/94 P.T.A.

R
18/1

2

No. 2514 (Ans 1) Excise Commissioners Office
268
Bengaluru dated 12th January 1917

From

The Excise Commissioner

To

in Mysore

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

In forwarding, herewith,
Copy of letter No. 2328, dated
1317
10th instant, from the Deputy
Commissioner, Kolar District,
reporting that, in consequence of
the Laddy Vund Farm of Katen-
halli and Varlakonda circles
in the Bagepally taluk, having
failed to furnish the requisite
Securities, his sub-tenants have
been allowed to hold the marginal

Somanahalli	Per month
—	45-2-6
Someswara	61-10-0
Lirumani	93-3-9
Varalakonda	34-0-0

234-0-3

noted shops for the sums
set against each of them,
directly under Government,
the necessary agreement
being taken from each
of

of them; and that the remaining
Katenhally shop was resold at
the risk of the defaulter, resulting
in a loss of Rupees 205-7-5, I have
the honor to state that I have
confirmed the deputy commissioner's
action.

2. The Deputy Commissioner has
been requested to report, in due
course, the details of the arrears
due by the defaulter, and the result
of the steps taken for the recovery
of the same, as well as the loss
which has accrued by the resale.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

I have the
Excuse Commissioner
in Mysore

M. S.

1894
From Inspector's 811 1
a 4
The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar district.

To
The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 10th January 1894

No. 2328
1317

Sir,

I have the honor to state that Pothalappa, Soddy Bend farmer of the Katenahally and Vorleundai firkas in the Bagepally Taluk, having failed to furnish ^{person} security in addition to 1 month's cash security, his farms had to be otherwise disposed of.

2. He had sublet the marginally noted shops

Somenhally Rs 45-2-6	} Per month.
Someshwara 61-10-0	
Eruman - 93-3-9	
Vorleundai - 34-0-0	

for sums set against each of them reserving to himself the Katenahally shop.

234-0-3
12
2808-3-0

As great loss was apprehended by a resale of all the shops included in the above farms, the Sub Contractors were allowed to hold

hold them directly under Government.
Mutchilkas were taken from each of
them - and the Amildar directed
to resell the Katenhally Shop. This
was sold by public auction on the
14th December last for the remaining
portion of the year (viz from 15th
December 1893 to 30th June 1894)
and the highest bidder at the sale
was one Gubbrah who has offered
Rs 23-6-0 per mensem for the same
inclusive of local cess.

3. The loss sustained by Government
by the resale amounts to Rs 205-7-5.
The Amildar has been directed to
proceed against the defaulter's
property for the recovery of the
arrears together with the amount
short-realised at the sale.

4. I have with a view to avoid
delay and further loss to Government
permitted the auction purchaser
to enter upon his farm in anticipation
of sanction. I request confirmation
of action taken.

5. The necessary Mutchilika has
been ordered to be taken from the
new Contractor and his Sureties.

I have &c

(Signed) Madiah
Dy. Commissioner.

"Copy"

M. S. S.
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

S.R. 169 18934 6

(2)

Sl 2
Letter no $\frac{2514}{268}$ D/12th 94 - 1/94
from the Excise Commr in Mysore
reporting that he has confirmed
the action taken by the Dy
Commr, Kolur Dist, on the
failure of the Vend Farmer of
Katenhalli & Varlakonda
Circles in the Baggepalli Taluk
to furnish the requisite securities.

Order Thereon.

The Dy Commr reports
that the Vend Farmer above
referred to having failed to
furnish securities, his sub-
tenants ~~have been allowed to~~
~~hold the shops as per margin~~
~~for the sums noted against~~
~~them directly under Govt~~ &
that the remaining Katenhalli
Shop was resold on the 11th
Dec/93 at ~~the~~ ^{his} risk of ~~the~~
~~defaulter, which resulted~~
in a loss of Rs 205-7-5.

Under the above

✓
No $\frac{18977-8}{R 1934}$ D/4.5.94
8.

it was found desirable
to allow

x Somenhalli	Per month Rs 45-2-6
Someshwara	61-10-0
Tornamam	93-3-9
Varlakonda	34-0-0

which the farmer
had reserved to
himself

the resale
resulting

circumstances, the action
taken by the Dy Commr is
approved, but it is to be
regretted that the Mend
~~Farmer~~ Farmer who failed
to furnish securities was
allowed to continue till Dec/93
to the prejudice of Govt interests.
A detailed report as to the
arrears due by the defaulter
& the result of the steps
taken for their recovery
~~of them~~ is however awaited
and should be submitted as
early as possible.

W. H. S.

22/12/93
To 3/2/94
Chief Secy
The Excise Commr in Mysore
The Comptroller in Mysore

LL
6/2/94

1000
4/2/94

the requisite

loss caused to Govt,

→ The Dy Commr is to
instruct the Dy Commr
to adopt measures
for the recovery
of arrears due &
submit a report
of the result of these
measures at an early
date.

SN-16.9/18934 8
Gen. Serial No. 30.]

From

(3) 1894. 713.
R198
The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

82 3
The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 16th July 1894.

Despatched 17th 1894.

Received

Enclosures No. 2

General No. 200
No. 23

Para 2 of Govt. Proceedings
In reply to No. 18,977-8, dated 4th May 1894
R. 1934
SUBJECT.

Forwards copy of
correspondence as to the steps
taken to recover the arrears
due by defaulting Toddy Farmer
Pothallappah, Bagepalli Taluk,
together with the Remission
Statement received from the
Deputy Commissioner Kolar
District, and recommends
that the amount of irrecoverable
balance of Rs. 341-12-7, may
be written off the Accounts as
the defaulter is insolvent.

References (to be entered only in the
Dewan's Office.)

114/21
Rev 1897 11/18

N^o 250 (arr)
25

Excise Commissioners office
Bangalore, 16th July 1894
17

From
The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To
The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to para 2 of
Government Proceedings N^o 18977-8,
R 1934,
dated $\frac{4}{8}$ th May 1894, calling for a
report as to the results of the steps taken
to recover the arrears due by the
defaulting

1. Letter N^o $\frac{4804}{2551}$ dated 29th
June 1894, from the Deputy
Commissioner, Kolar
District, with copy of its
enclosure

2. Letter N^o $\frac{207}{111}$, dated 11th
July 1894, from - ditto -
with its original enclosure.

3. Letter N^o $\frac{219}{123}$, dated 12th
July 1894, from - ditto -.

Poddy Vendi
Janner
Pothalappa
of Katenhalli
and Vorlakonda

Circles in the

Bagipally
for 1893-94,
Taluk, I have

the honor to
forward,

herewith copy

of the marginally noted correspondence,
together with ~~copy~~ the Remission
Statement received from the Deputy

Commissioner

Commissioner, Kolar District, for
Rs 341-12-7. As it is clear from the
Deputy Commissioner's report that there
is no means of recovering this balance,
the defaulter being insolvent, I beg to
recommend that the amount may
be ordered to be written off the accounts.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient Servant,

Thos. Keith
Excuse Commissioner
in Impore
H. S.

1894

4

From

Memorandum N 3

The Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District

To

The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

Dated $\frac{29}{30}$ June 1894.

Enclosure No. 1

No. $\frac{4804}{2551}$ } 1893-94.

Sir,

With reference to your official Memorandum No. C. 229, dated 12th May 1894, I have the honor to state that the arrears due by Pothalappa the defaulting farmer of Katenahally and Vorlacondah circles amount to Rs 341-12-7, which the Deputy Amildar of Gudibanda reports as irrecoverable. He further states that he is insolvent and owns only 1 Rupee worth of property.

2. The defaulter furnished 1 month's cash

¹
Cash deposit and begged some time
being allowed to find personal security.
As the defaulter was punctual in
the payment of his monthly Khists,
it was hoped that he would succeed
in furnishing security. Unfortunately
the man suddenly met with reverses
owing to the death of his partner
and fell into arrears⁺ necessitating
a re-sale of his farms (vide
this office No. 2328 dated 11th
1317
January 1894)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

3. As there is very little chance
of recovering the outstanding
balance, owing to a heavy loss
he sustained in the farm, I would
recommend that it may be
written off the accounts.

4. An office memo showing the
circumstances of the case is furnished
for information

I have the honor to

(Signed) C. Madiah
Deputy Commissioner

12

Memo

When

On the fact of the delay in the collection of deposits being brought to notice by the Daroga, the Amildar Bagepully Saluk was called upon to submit at once a statement shewing the amount of deposits recovered from the Toddy Vendi Farmers. In furnishing this information he sent only 19 out of 21 mutchilkas without any explanation for omitting to send the remaining from Pothalappa, the defaulter in question.

When

When

This was noticed in the office and the Amildar's action in allowing a delay of $4\frac{1}{2}$ months to elapse without the execution of mutchilkas was severely remarked on and he directed to look to this at once.

Sub

2. The Amildar in reply submitted the statements taken by his Toddy Inspector. In these statements the different sub-tenants of Pothalappa the defaulter agreed to hold the
shops

14
Shops directly under Government in
the event the Government transferred
to 1 month's cash deposit paid to
the farmer to their credit. As the
renter and his sub-lessees were
residing in the Gudibanda Range,
the Amildar was directed to send
his Inspector to the Deputy Amildar
who sent for the men and made
enquiries, the result of which was
that

as per
in as per

- (1) Pothalappa pleaded inability
to find personal security and
 - (2) the Shikmidars still adhered
to hold the shops directly under
Government.
- Shikmidars
adhered to

Since a great loss was
apprehended by a re-sale
of all the shops the sub-tenants
were allowed to hold the shops
directly under Government excluding
the Katenhally Shop which was
re-sold on 14th December 1893. It
was purchased by one Subbah
for Rs 23-6-0 per month inclusive
of

Shikmidars
adhered to
14th

18

2/2
in ins/wh
above of
with from
recovered?
+ 1/2 much 2

396-12-4
206-15-9
189-12-7

289-12
3468

289-12-0-3
284-15-9
54-15-9

of cess. The re-sale resulted in a loss of Rs 206-15-9

In confirming the re-sale the Amildar was directed to proceed against the defaulter's property to which the Amildar reports that

(1) Pothalappah's arrears of Khist + the amount short-realised at the re-sale amount to Rs 396-12-4 and that he has only 1 Rupee worth of property;

(2) that out of Rs 289-0-0 deposited by the defaulter Rs 234-0-3 had been paid by the sub contractors leaving a balance of Rs 54-15-9 to his credit.

(3) that deducting this amount in his favour he still owes Rs 341-12-7, which is irrecoverable.

Done

The transfer of the 4 shops viz Somenhally, Somenwera, Sirumany, and Vorlakonda shops were effected to avoid further loss to Government by re-sale and the action was approved

approved by the Exc. & Commissioners
Signed, C. Madala
Deputy Commissioner

From.

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated $\frac{11}{13}$ July 1894.

Enclosure $\frac{ho}{a}$ 1

$\frac{ho}{11}$ $\frac{207}{111}$

Sir,

In reply to your docket $\frac{ho}{a}$
 $\frac{58}{2}$ dated 4th instant, I have the
honor to forward, herewith a
remission statement for the arrears
due by Sothalappa, a defaulting
farmer Bagepally Salook, and
to recommend that the Government
may be moved to accord sanction
to the sum of Rs. 341-12-7 shown
therein being written off as there
is

is no likelihood of the amount
being recovered from him for
reasons referred to in this office
letter no. 4804 dated 29th June 1894
2551

I have the honor to
|Signed| Abdul Azeez
for Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Dated 12th July 1894
14

no. 219
123

Sir

In reply to your docket
no. 144 dated 10th instant, I have
the honor to state that the Katena-
-hally Shop was resold on the 14th
and not on the 15th December 1893.

The

The loss that resulted from the
resale of the above shop is Rs 206-15-9
and not Rs 205-7-5. I request
that necessary corrections may
kindly be caused to be made.

I have the honour.

/Signed/ C. Madiah
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

"
Copy

Thurkitts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

N. S.

Statement shewing the irrecoverable amounts both arrears and current recommended for remission for the month of July 1894.

Name of farm or shop.	Name of the defaulter.	Amount of the original bid (per month)	Amount of bid at the re-sale.	Date of resale.	Loss by resale upto the end of the lease.	Arrears due prior to the date of resale.	Total amount to be recovered from the defaulter.	Amount of deposits on sale proceeds of lands & credits towards arrears.	Balance still due.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1. Katenchally and Votlacondak	Pothalappa	3825	x 23 6 (monthly including cess)	14 th Decemr 1893.	206 59	189 12 7	396 12 4	54 15 9	341 12 7	* Out of the 4 shops comprised in the Katenchally and in the Votla-Condak farm, the defaulter sublet all the 4 shops reserving to himself the Katenchally shop which alone was resold as great loss was apprehended by a resale of all the shops comprising the farms which were allowed to be held by the Sub tenants directly under Government. The amount of loss shown in Col. 6 of this statement relates to the resale of the
		570			205 15 9	190 12 7		55 15 9	340	Katenchally shop worked by the defaulter.

Amount of loss by resale of the Katenchally shop worked by the defaulter.

By: *[Signature]*
By: *[Signature]*

SN 169 18739

90

18947 795-
R 225-
(4)

From 814
The Comptroller
in Mysore

To. The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated. 18th July 94

Despatched. 19th

Received

Encls: 20.

Genl: 20. 37
20. 1

Subject:

Requesting to be informed whether
the date on which the resale of
the Katenahally shop in the Bapupally
Taluk of the Kolar District took place
and the amount of loss sustained
thereby may be altered in Govt.
Pro: No 18977-8 of 4th May/94
R 1934

Rev. 20/7/94
To The Exec Commr 203532
27/8/94 R 4592
H.

No. 37
1

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE,
Bangalore, 18th July 1894

From

E. R. SUBBRAYAR, Esq.,
Comptroller of Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore
Bangalore

SIR,

With reference to para 1 of Government
Proceedings No 18977-8 dated 4th May 1894
R 1934
stating that Katenahally shop in the Bagepally
Taluk of the Kolar District, which was resold
on 11th December 1893, resulted in a loss of
Rs 205.7-5, I have the honor to report that, as
intimated by the Deputy Commissioner Kolar
in his No. 214 dated 13 14 ¹¹⁸ July 1894 the date on
which the farm was resold is 14th December 1893
and the amount of loss sustained thereby is Rs 206-15-9
and to request to be informed whether the date and
the amount in the Proceedings under reference may
be altered accordingly.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedt. Servt.
M. S. Subbrayam
Comptroller

(5)

Drafts

Govt Pop No. 3532
 459
 22nd August 94 -

23

S. P. 114 1893-94

To

A 5

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

D. 27 August 1894
30 No 3532
A 457

Sir

Adverting to cor-

-respondence ending

with your letter N^o

$\frac{200}{23}$ dated 16th July 1894,

I am directed to request

that a revised correct

Remission Statement may

be submitted with refer-

-ence to the following

points.

2. Has the defaulter

Dathalappa committed

default in respect of

one

one Farm or two Farms?

If one, why are two amounts given in Col. 3 of the Demission Stat^{ts}?

3. Are the figures given in Column 3 "rents per month" as the heading would make out, or "rents per annum", as seems probable? In the latter case, is it for 12 months or for 15 months?

4. Taking the figures to be for 15 months, and understanding the name "Voralaconda" given in Column 1 to mean "Voralaconda

& 3 other shops" it follows that

(a point on which the demission stat^{ts} sh^d be perfectly clear)

that

(a) Voral Konda & 3 other shops have been sublet for Rs. 234-4-3 per mensem, against Rs. 34 the amount of original bid, i.e., at a profit of Rs. 200 per mensem, and

(b) Katavahalli, the highest bid for which was Rs. 255 per mensem at first sale, was sold for but Rs. 23-6-4 at the resale, i.e., at a loss of Rs. 231-10-4.

These variations are startling and require to be fully accounted for

2/

5 The arithmetic of the several Columns of the ~~Summary~~ statement as now submitted, does not appear to be correct. It is at least very obscure and requires explanation.

6. One would understand from the "Remarks" in Column 11, that the Katenahalli farm is not a shop Is it so? If so, the nature of each of Pathalappa's farms should be fully explained.

7. In the revised report which may be submitted in answer to this reference, dates should be furnished for

21

for every step taken in
the Proceedings. As it
stands the Office Note
sent with the Deputy
Comms letter is singularly
deficient in this respect.

I have in

~~the~~ 27 Aug 94

Chf Secy

subd.
27/8/94

7

Correspondence ending with the Excise Commr's letter
no 200-23 dated 16th July 1894 I am directed

2

distributed as follows -

Keenechale	253
Voralkumda	34
	289

2 On the 14th December/93, the
Kathenhalli shop was resold
and realized Rs 23-6-2 monthly
(including cess); and the sub-contractors
were allowed to retain the ^{other} 4 shops
for the amount sub-let to them viz
Rs 234-4-3 monthly against
Rs 289/- the total monthly rental
of the Farm. or a ^{monthly} loss of Rs 31-9-9
for

for

for six months and 16 days or
Rs 205-15-6 in all ~~by~~ Rs-1 all

3 The Sub-Contractors urged that
they paid Rs 234-4-3 as one
month's security out-of the 289
tendered by Pothalappa and this
was accordingly transferred to
their names and the balance of
Rs 54-15-9 was debited against
the arrears due by Pothalappa
to Government. The arrears due
by Pothalappa, prior to resale
on ~~14~~ 14th December 193, are stated
to be Rs 396-12-4 and the
net amount recommended for
remission is Rs 341-12-7

4 It appears singular that
the farm of Kattenhaller, held
by Pothalappa, the value of which
is put down at 3,825 Rs for 15
months in the remission state-
ment or Rs 255 monthly and
paying the Khist regularly,
should only realize Rs 23-6-4
at the resale !!!

5 There is nothing on record
to show ^{was the consumption of what} what the profit and
loss amounted to prior to
resale

resale of the Katenhalli Farm
This should be reported I think -

6 The period (month and date)
to which the arrears pertained
and how those arrears were cal-
culated or arrived at are not
recorded

7 The date from which the
+ Sub-Contractors commenced
to serve Government directly
not stated

This should be reported
as well as the arrears
which they undoubtedly
were indebted to the
British Government but by
the Government not being
given then & credited
to the Government
as the Government was
indebted to the
British Government

8 In the office memo fur-
nished by the Deputy Commr^r
the absence of all dates is striking

9 If the Toddy officials only
exerted themselves to find out
how affairs were managed by
Pothalappa at the outset, and
that a sum of Rs 255 out of 289

of the Khel was actually
guaranteed by ^{the} Sub-Contractors,
they could have readily, on
this good ground alone, ob-
tained the personal security
of the Sub-Contractors on
behalf of Pothalappa as the
Sub-Contractors held the largest
slice of the Farm in the hands
& thus derived profit from all crops

10 The remission statement, and the 8 letters which make up the correspondence do not state what the total value of the farm was, nor for what period it was held. The heading of Col² 3 is wrong as it states the amounts to be "per month" when they evidently pertain to 15 months. The amount given in column 6 is Rs 206-15-9 and ^{It was 1st stated to be Rs 205-7-5, then corrected to Rs 206-15-9} both are wrong as the amount should be Rs 205-15-9. The figures in Column 7 should be 190-12-7 and not 189-12-7. The figures given under Column 9, Rs 54-15-9 pertains only to the "part deposit" amount confiscated as per para 3 above; and, as no mention is made as to whether the property valued at one rupee was realized or not and it not having been brought to book under this column as per heading thereof "and sale proceeds of lands and credited towards arrears", explanation is needed ere orders can be passed to write off the correct balance.

Many orders be drafted accordingly & the 4: Jm^r as per to always furnish a correct history of each case sent up for remission furnishing a clear principal event as above? J. W. P. 8/8/94

Indrajit M
Jm^r
17/8

2976

25773

$$T_0$$

Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 1st September 1894.

Received

General No.

No.

In reply to Chief Secretary's letter
No. 3532, dated $\frac{27}{30}$ th August 189
A. 459, SUBJECT

Explains the discrepancies pointed out and submits a revised Remission Statement giving all particulars in regard to the default of Pothalappa, late Lorry Vend Farmer of Katenaha and Vorlakonda circles in the Bagepalli Taluk.

References (to be entered only in the
Deewan's Office.)

2/9/94

17/5

Submitted for order

128
2/10. 24: Check draft reply as below =

I It is not stated from what date the defaulter failed to pay his monthly (which to what month or months the arrears aggregating Rs 189-12-7 pertain? This information has now been furnished with steps taken ^{at date this} from the int. set in this case as per ^{in para 7 of this O-Letter 3532/4598}

II The Shikhandals were conferred with the 4 shops sub-let to them from the 1st Dec 93, and were on their bare ^{out} ~~stated~~ allowed Rs 234-0-3 ^{of} the monthly security of Rs 289 lodged by the defaulting farmer to stand as their security. When this was done it is evident that they must have been indebted to the defaulting farmer or full monthly ~~which is the security~~ ^{which is the security} ending 30th Nov. ^{which is the security} ~~on the 30th~~ Dec 93. viz Rs 234-0-3. ^{which is the security} ~~It should be reported~~ why no steps were taken to realize that sum with arrears of any due by them to the late Jagmool & credit the same to Govt? Had ^{either Govt or} ~~there been~~ ^{adopted} ~~been~~ it was not only have cleared off the arrears of Rs 189-12-7 but state further reduced the lops by re-sale viz

Rs 206-15-10-

III It should be further explained
why when the Defendant's former
warden pres. of the Jail Security
of Prison Committee (which was the 867 in
Aug 8) in the sale notice his four partners
does we're not make co-partners
with him & treated as one firm? This
we know obstructed ^{any} ^{at all} ⁱⁿ this case

IV The untheoretical calculation which places the value of the Waterballi Stock at Rs 54/15-9 shown to be substantiated by data obtained of its actual working both before & after the sale - as the amt. obtained Rs 23/6/- considering them - the best market for the sale of Totdy land still to be offered to be a very small

✓ It was ~~the~~ ^{an} ~~million~~ ^{small} reported when
the property valued at one ^{hundred} ~~hundred~~ ^{thousand} ~~thousand~~ ^{thousand} ~~thousand~~
and I advised the report to look at the

dicenstareco

the circumstances under which
man of straw was given
a ~~last~~ farm of No 4135 to work
certainly needs explanation
which Govt ~~must~~ request the
Dept to call on the Offr conducting
the sale to furnish. may be
now requested to furnish. -

13.6

C. Ky.

~~Hand~~
S. A. J.
2/10/94

Excise Commissioner's Office

Dated Bangalore 1st September 1894.

No. 1081 (Enc 1)
104

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 3532
R. 459' dated 27th August 1894, I have the honor
30 to state that the two Laddy Farms therein
mentioned, were purchased by the
defaulter Pothalappa for the amounts
particularized below: —

Name of Farm	For 15 months from 1st April 1893 to 30th June 1894		
	Rs	As	Ps
Horalacouda consisting of only one shop - - - - -	510		
Katenahalli consisting of Katenahalli, Somanahalli, Someswara & Tirumani shops -	3825	-	-

Farm without
amount?

He committed default in respect of both
the farms, and therefore the amounts
of both were shown in column 3 of the
Remission

Remission statement. The figures therein shown are for 15 months

2. The amount of original bid of Haralacunda shop alone was Rs 34/- per month or Rs 510/- for 15 months, but not of Haralacunda and 3 other shops as stated in clause (a) of the letter under reference.

3. The highest bid of Rs 255/- per month (or Rs 3825 for 15 months) was not of Katenahalli shop alone as stated in clause (b) of the letter under reply, but it was that of Katenahalli Farm consisting of 4 shops as particularized in para 1 above. Out of the 4 shops belonging to Katenahalli Farm, the defaulter had sub-let three shops as per details below: —

	Rs.	as.	P.
Somenahalli	45	2	6
Someshwara	61	10	0
Lirumani	93	3	9
	<u>Rs 200</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>3</u>

} Per month

He had also sub-let the Haralacunda shop for the amount for which he had purchased it, viz Rs 34 per month. The sub-tenants were allowed to hold these shops for the same amounts directly

When this was
first made the
monthly rent of
15-2-93 was
allowed to the
defaulter for 15
months.

36

directly under Government. The only shop which he had reserved to himself was Katenahalli. The total value of the Katenahalli Farm consisting of 4 shops was Rs 255 as per highest bid at the sale. Deducting Rs 200-4-3 being the aggregate amount of the above three shops sub-let, the balance viz Rs 54-15-9 would be the monthly value of Katenahalli shop; but only Rs 23-6-2 were obtained at its resale. The difference of Rs 31-9-9 per month, together with previous arrears, aggregated Rs 396-12-5 as per particulars in the margin. Deducting from this amount

Loss accrued by the resale from 15 th December 1893 to 30 th June 1894 @ Rs 31-9-9	} Rs. as Rs 206-15-10	the defaulter's deposit &c. viz Rs 54-15-9
Arrears due by the defaulter prior to the date of resale	} 189-12-7	the balance still due
Total Rs 396-12-5		is Rs 341-12-8

which has to be written off the accounts as being unrecoverable. A revised Remission Statement prepared in this office is herewith submitted.

I have the honour to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant

M. K. K. K.

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Rs 205-7-5 as per
by copy of final
report no 2328 of
1317
10-8-94 and
206-15-9 as per
by Govt Remission
Statement.

(7)

37

S.A. 167 1893-4

817


The Excise Comr
in Mysore.

Dy 4/ March 95

No 13647 In.
R 1975

His letter No 1081 Dy 18th Sep 94
104
Submitting for sanction a
revised remission state-
-ment for Rs 341-12-8
being the arrears due from
Pothalappa, late Toddy
Vend Farmer in the
Bazarpalli Taluk.

In reply, has the honor
to request explanation
in regard to the remarks
made
on the remission state-
-ment. together with the
vernacular records of the

²⁹⁶
Case may also be submitted 

18-0
4/11/35
Under Secy

8

39

1895

11657

R 3143

From The Excise Commissioner

S. N. 16918 in Mysore

To

818.

The ~~Chief Secretary~~ to the
Dewan of Mysore.Under Secretary to
Government of Mysore,
Excise Department.Dated 8th

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Submits explanation
in regard to the remarks
made on the remission
Statement relating to
As 341-12-8 being the arrears
due by Rothalappa, a de-
faulting Toddy Vendi Farmer
in the Bagepally taluk.

Rev 11/3/11.

References (to be entered only in the
Dewan's Office.)

8/1/1895 - Did the orig^l
Stamp etc go to the
8. for a copy?
He has not answered
the question yet?

20/3/95 *[Signature]*
S. N. 16918

Submitted.

The Excise Commr has sub^d
Explanation on the remarks
which he had made.

2. This office remarks are yet
to be explained.

3. The original statement
with remarks thereon is in
the file. A Copy of the remarks
does not seem to have been sent.

Hence a draft is put up for
appl

23/3

[Handwritten initials]
1-13

No 3801
347

LEO
Excise Commissioners Office
Bangalore, 8th March 1895.
9

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To,

The Under Secretary to
Government of Mysore,
Excise Department.

Sir,

In reply to your docket No.
 $\frac{13647}{R.1975}$, dated $\frac{4^{th}}{6}$ instant, I have
the honor to state that the remark
at the foot of the Remission State-
ment relating to Rs 341-12-8 being
the arrears due by Pothalappa, a
defaulting Toddy Vund Farmer
in the Bagipally taluk, refers
to Rs 223-6-3 in column 5, which
has been detailed in para 3 of
my

my letter No. $\frac{1081}{104}$, dated 1st September 1894. I beg to annex — below the particulars of the same:—

Name of shop	Amounts for which relet or resold	
Somen halli	Rs. — — p. 45 — 2 — 6.	} Relet per month.
Some'swara	61 — 10 — 0.	
Tirumani	93 — 3 — 9.	
Katenahalli	23 — 6 — 0.	} Resold per month.
<u><u>Total Rs 223 — 6 — 3.</u></u>		

2. The Vernacular papers will be submitted on receipt from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, who has been requested to send them.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

I thank
Excuse Commissions
in Mysore

M. S.

R2143

9

42

Gen. 30.]

1895 12391.

From

S. H. S.
The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

Under
The Chief Secretary to the
Government Dewan of Mysore.

Dated

21st Excise department

Despatched

22nd March 1895

Received

Enclosure No. *(1 file)*

General No.

3958

No.

371

In reply to

In continuation of this
office no. *3801* dated *8th* instant.

SUBJECT.

Forwards the vernacular
papers relating to the
Remission Statement
for Rs 341-12-7, being
the arrears due by
Potappa, late Soddy
Vend Farmer in
the Bagepally Taluk.

C. S. S. S.

References (to be entered only in the
Dewan's Office.)

6/4

22380. Rev
22.3.95
29/3/95
L.H.

22380. Rev

43

Excise Commissioner's Office,
No 3958 Bangalore, dated 21st March 1897,
371 (Encl^{ies}) 20
From.

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Under Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Excise Department

Sir,

In continuation of my
letter No. 3801 dated 8th instant,
347⁹
I have the honor to forward,
herewith, the vernacular papers
relating to the Remission Statement
for Rs 341-12-7 being the arrears
due by Patalappa, late Toddy
Vend Farmer in the Bagepally
taluk.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant

I think
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

(10)

44

SA 167 1878 9

The Excise Comr

St. 10th in Mysore

Dy 27 March 95
1-4 ✓


No 16037 Ex:1
R2284

His letter no 3801 Dy 8th
347
Instant Submitting ex-
planation of the remarks
made on the remission
statement relating to the
arrears due by Pothalappa,
a defaulting Toddy Vendor
Farmer, Bagepalli Taluk

In returning the Remission
Statement with remarks
thereon, has the honor
to request that the Excise
Comr will be good enough
to submit ~~the same~~ ^{the same} with explanation
the same with explanation

in full ~~to~~ the several months
thrown away


28/3/95



18-0

29/3/95

offg Chiffey



S. A. J.

23/3

4/6

The Enam Court
in Mysore

No

En:

His letter no $\frac{1081}{104}$ of 1st Sept 1914
submitting for sanction a revised
Remission statement for Rs 341-12-
being the arrears due from
Pothalappa late Duddy Band Farmer
of Katerupatti and Votakonda
circles in the Baggepatti Taluk.

In reply, has the honor to observe
as follows: —

1 It is not stated from what
date the defaulter failed to pay
his monthly Khist & to what
month or months the arrears
(col 9 of Remission Stale)
aggregating Rs 189-12-7 pertain.

This information should now be
furnished with steps taken
and dates thereof from the out-

-let in this case as required
in ^{para 7 of} this office no $\frac{3532}{459}$ of 27th Aug 1914

2 The Shikimidars were con-
firmed in the 4 shops sub-let

Pl-
1 2

to them ^{by the defaulting farmer} from the 14th Dec/93 and
were on their bare statements
allowed Rs 234-4-3 out of the
single month's security of Rs 289/-
lodged by the defaulting farmer,
to stand as their security. When
this was done, it is evident that
they must have been indebted
to the defaulting farmer a full
month's ~~as~~ ^{whist} for the month
ending 30th Nov which fell due
in Dec/93 viz Rs 234-4-3. On
the other hand if there was a
~~actually~~ ~~a bona fide sale of~~
~~the farms as would be inferred~~
~~from the Dy Commr's report, then~~
~~purchasers had no claim to any~~
~~part of the security of the default~~
~~ing farmer, as that security was not given by the purchasers to the~~
If they are to be
considered as mere shikindars of the late farmer confirmed in their
it should be reported why no
steps were taken to realize
that sum with arrears if any,
due by them to the late farmer
and credit the same to Govt. ^{this}
Had ^{this} course been adopted
it would not only have cleared
off the arrears of Rs 189-12-7
but also still further reduced
the loss by resale viz 206-15-10.

especially as they were allowed to
retain the security amount
pertaining to their holdings
which they alleged to have
paid to the defaulting
farmer to lodge with Govt.

Submitted
Sir,

Para 2 of Draft - Their appeals
to be something very
fishy here - They were
not made to pay up what
they were due to the late
farmer No 234-0-3 (2 more
too perhaps) but were
also allowed to furnish
no security at all but
allowed 234-0-3 out of
that ~~amount~~ ^{fund}
lodged by the late
farmer as security
which sum was
virtually
~~actually~~ confiscated
to Govt. by the resale
The matter requires
further
clearing up

J. H. W. S.

Para 4 The farmer
keeps the best photo for
himself, as a rule, & here
with the best, worth the
sum only less than 1/2 value
is accepted - Surely the
value of the farm could
not have been secured at
all!

Para 5 I think this
is very necessary - If
we stir up the weed we
will come at the bottom of
the affair more readily

3/10/94

J. H. W. S.
S. H. W.

like the defendant
 failed to pay the full
 ... why were the
 the Shikmudars made
 Co-partners & as the
 was one of minor &
 had no property
 but the property of
 the farmer -

9

explained why before the
 departing farmer first made
 payment of the full security
 of three months' interest viz Rs 86/-
 as required in the sale notice,
 his four Shikmudars were not
 made co-partners with him
 and treated as one firm?
^{being the most rational course to have adopted}
 This would have obviated any
 loss at all in this case.

4. The ~~old~~ arithmetical
^{made by the Commr.}
 calculation, which places the value
 of the Katenhalli shop at
 Rs 54-15-9 should be substant-
 -iated by data obtained of its
 actual working both before &
^{and after} resale - as the amount obtained
 Rs 23-6-0, considering that the
 best months for the sale of today
 had still to run, appears to have
 been very small.

5. It should finally be
 reported (why the property
 valued at ~~one~~ one rupee
 was not realized & brought to
 book, while the circumstances
 under which a man ~~was~~
 of straw was given a farm
 of Rs 4,135/- to work, certainly
 needs explanation) which

Govt request the officer that
conducted the Sale may be
now requested to furnish

B O

Chief Leary

Chief
S. B.
2/10/54

Call for explanation in
Hants & Co remarks on
the Admission statement and
together with the Verdict
verdict of the case

1/3/55

Subd with aff
for app
2/3

Remarks

80

From what date the defaulter failed to pay his monthly wheat & to what month or months the arrears (Col 9 of remission statement) aggregating Rs 189-12-7 pertain?

It is clear the Shikmidars were indebted to the Farmer. If so to what amount and why ^{such sums} not all recovered from them? Why were they not taken over from the time of the first default?

2 When the defaulter failed to pay the full amount ^{as argued by the Rules} security, why were not the Shikmidars made Co-partners, & as then were men of means and ^{would have} ~~been~~ proportionately paid the remaining security for the Farmer ^{as, as a matter of fact, it is now used they did do to the Farmer for some months} ~~by the~~ ^{by the} The Value of Katenhalli Shop at Rs 54-15-9 should be substantiated by data ~~of~~ obtained of its actual working both before & after resale

3 Why was the property valued at Rs 1/- not realized & why was a sum of Rs 4135/- given a farm of Rs 4135/-?

5. The date from which the Sub Contractors Cons-
~~ent~~ - were to serve Govt directly is not
stated. This should be reported as well as the
areas which they evidently were un-^{der} to the
late Garner and why this was not given from
them & ordered to report especially as the security
was made over to them on their own statement
why
nor why they were not taken over from the date of the
1st default occurring instead of long after
wards -

Rel. back of
copy in Ltr
S.A.
4/3/95

MAY 9 9 1895

Gen. 30.]

11

1895

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

SR-167 1895
offs.

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
Excise Department

Dated

3rd

Despatched

4th May 1895

Received

Enclosure No.

Sl. 11

General No.

4605

No.

441

In reply to

Chief Secretary's

docket no. 16037 of 29-3-95.
2284

SUBJECT.

Requests that the records connected with the arrears due by defaulting Soddy vend Harmer Pothalappa of Bagepally Taluk may be returned to this office, as the deputy Commissioner, Kolar district has applied for them

Ru

U. S. 95-

11/5
22/7/95

References (to be entered only in the
Dewan's Office.)

Subd with SL no. 18391.
R3330
from the same & given to
you on 25/3

11/5
Subd with dft fnapple
11/5

No 4605-
441
to

Excise Commissi
Bangalore dated 3rd m.
4

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The officiating Chief Secretary to
Government of Mysore
Excise department.

Sir,

With reference to your docket
No. 16037 dated 29th March 1895, returning
2284
with remarks the Remission Statement
relating to the arrears due by Pothalappa,
a defaulting Toddy Vender Farmer,
Bagepally Taluk, I have the honor
to request that the Records connected
with the above case, may be returned
to this office, as the deputy Commissioner
Kolar District has applied for
them

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant
Shubert

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

(12)

54

The En case Cover

SN 1671873 ^{in Mysore}
by H. May 95

no 20431
R 2901

En

8/12

His letter no 4605 D/ 3rd
441
Inst


As requested in the above,
has the honor be forward
for reference and re-
- submission, the file of
Vernacular papers in
Connection to the the arrears
due by defaulting Paddy
And Farmer Reddappa
Pothalappa of the Buggah
Taluk.

11-5-95


R. O.

11/5

Offy Chof Seery


11/5/95